Magnetic Positive Positioning

Christian Wendt, Lars Hagemann, Markus Werner (Airbus DS GmbH) 25.06.2015



Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Approach
- 3. Simulation with ANSYS Fluent
- 4. Conclusion

All rights reserved.



Introduction

- Ariane 5 Launcher •
- **Mission Ballistic Phase** •
- LOX MP²





All rights reserved.

Ariane 5 Launcher



- European Expendable Launch System ٠
- Cryogenic first and second stage (LOX & LH2)







17 June 2015



- engine shutdown (H2) and separation Upper Upper stage stage ignition shutdown (H3) Fairing jettisoning (F) flame-out (H1) and separation Main cryogenic stage engine ignition (H0+1s) SRB ignition and lift-off GEO Stage Seperation Lift-Off Upper Stage GTO Shut Down **Powered Flight Ballistic Flight**
 - Propellant thermal control problem:
 - wetting of hot or cold tank wall areas due to fluid motion under µg conditions
 - increase of propellant boil-off / thermal residuals or danger of propellant sub-cooling (in case of LOX A5ME)
 - Classical Propellant Management Devices (PMD) available
 - Baffles or membranes position the fluid (based on surface tension force and/or subdivision of tank volume into smaller volumes)
 - Limited benefit for thermal control of propellants, especially in case of LOX sub-cooling for A5ME



$LOX - MP^2$





- Breakthrough of high temperature superconductors in the past decade
- Several technical applications of superconductors (SMES, energy transfer)
- LOX is paramagnetic
- Re-orientation under investigation since 1964

History

- 1964 Ferrohydrodynamic equations including magnetic forces by Neuringer and Rosensweig
- 1967 Air Force investigated the feasibility of using electromagnetic fields to manage propellant in a microgravity environment (canceled due to safety risk and massive permanent magnets)
- 80's R&D in the field of High Temperature Superconductors (HTS) enables high magnetic field intensities above 90 K (storage temperature of LOX).
 - 1997 MAPO experiment by NASA to simulate LOX positioning, numerical codes validated by MAPO experiment to enable flow field predictions
- 2004 Research activities by Marchetta (University of Memphis) et al. in cooperation with ORBITEC. Various publications at AIAA and experiments at ZARM drop-tower.
- 2007 Numerical analyses with ANSYS Fluent of different MAPO experiments





Approach

- Workflow
- Software Tools
- Theory
- 1. Check of Approach: Comparison of Forces
- 2. Check of Approach: Comparison of Free Surface



Workflow

- Following common approach, considering LOX as non-conducting with comparable low and nearly constant magnetic susceptibility, allows a discoupled approach for magnetic field and resulting magnetic force calculation
- Magnetic field and force calculation are done in separate modules in a CFD pre-processing step





Ferrohydrodynamics

Additional source term in momentum governing equation, depending on LOX volume fraction α_{LOX}

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \vec{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u} \vec{u}) = -\nabla \mathbf{p} + \nabla \cdot [\mu (\nabla \vec{u} + \nabla \vec{u}^T)] + \rho \vec{g} + \vec{F}$$

$$\vec{F} = \alpha_{LOX} \, \mu_0 \, \overrightarrow{(M} \, \nabla) \vec{H}$$

where $\vec{M} = \chi \vec{H}$ magnetization $\vec{H} = \frac{\vec{B}}{\mu_0(1+\chi)}$ magnetic field

- Within ANSYS Fluent, the force densities at the cell centers has been stored in two User Defined Memory (UDM)
- The momentum sources have been enabled via UDFs





1. Check of Approach: Comparison of Forces for Solenoid







2. Check of Approach: Comparison of LOX Free Surface Orientation











Þ Globally good agreement (despite some unknowns in the setup)





Simulation with ANSYS Fluent Ariane 5ME Reference Tank

- Dimensioning Cases
- Geometry and Mesh
- Results



Dimensioning Cases

Avoidance of LOX displacement from HTC

- Maneuver induces kinematic forces on LOX
- Evaluation of minimal field intensity to keep HTC wetted

Spin Case

Time of LOX re-orientation

- Disturbance load leads to fluid displacement
- HTC ensures fluid settling
- Examination of re-orientation time dependent on magnetic field intensity



Introduction – Approach – Simulation with ANSYS/Fluent – Conclusion

µg Case





17 June 2015



Single Coil: 500x200A



- 2d axis-symmetric model (modelling of droplets/bubbles or asymmetric sloshing modes not possible)
- Superconducting magnet is located at the tank bottom (next to the tank outlet)
- Fluent calculation
 - 46261 cells
 - VoF model
 - Κ-ε-turbulence model
 - Transient calculation
 - isotherm



Single Coil: Spin Case





Single Coil: Spin Case



Applying a magnetic field of 0.1 T (at the center of the coil), ensures a continuous wetting of the superconducting magnet



Single Coil: µg Case





Single Coil: µg Case



The LOX reaches the 0.1 T magnet after ~360s, which is deemed acceptable for a HTC with a transition temperature of 110K



Conclusion

• Summary



Summary

- Interest in LOX-MP² for long ballistic phase application explained
- Dis-coupled approach for magnetic field interaction outlined
- Checks of approach given:
 - Forces checked against analytical expression for solenoid
 - Surface orientation checked against test under 1g
- First dimensioning studies for LOX-MP² application
 - Spin case
 - µg case
 - Þ HTC magnet assembly show LOX positioning capabilities

